

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.

If (Sinclair) has and can and will use control over our stations , which are our major stations , and only program onesided politics that uphold their own viewpoint, then those of us in America who believe in a free preee have lost our freedoms to this monopoly. I can't help but wonder how much of the news Sinclair broadcasts , is accurate. I personally will not have one of your channels on my television , knowing that we are on the verge of fascism due to your monopoly.
Jo Greenwood